

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,035 09/22/2003		David K. Johansen	62519-00003USPT	7867	
30223	7590 09/19/2005	•	EXAMINER		
	GILCHRIST, P.C. ASHINGTON		EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE		
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		1725		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/667,0	35	JOHANSEN, DAVID K.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
			mondson	1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress -		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING AND	NG DATE OF T FR 1.136(a). In no er on. period will apply and v statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be timustiful expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	secution as to the	e merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4,5,10 and 15-21</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔀 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/667,035

Art Unit: 1725

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11 and 12 of copending Application No. 11/214660. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach a system for providing power to multiple ultrasonic probes using programmable logic devices. However the '660 claims do not teach a relay for switching

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a relay as is convention in the art to switch from providing power to the first probe to providing power to the second probe.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lemelson (USPN 3559257).

Lemelson teaches a system for providing power to multiple ultrasonic welding probes from a single power supply using an automatic control system (col 1 lines 34-50, col 2 lines 25-52 and col 10 lines 5-28), a programmable logic component and relay (col 6 lines 31-61) for switching power between probes (col 4 line 29 – col 5 line 71, col 8 line 25 – col 9 line 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 5, 10 and 15-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,035 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Vala et al. (USPN 6251203 B1, multiple power supplies), Stumpf

(USPN 4401501, multiple power supplies) and Jones (USPN 3029766).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571)

272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson/ 16 9/14/65
Primary Examiner

LRE